Comparing ISIS and OSPF

ISP Workshops

Last updated 24 April 2013

Comparing ISIS and OSPF

Both are Link State Routing Protocols using the Dijkstra SPF Algorithm

■ So what's the difference then?

And why do ISP engineers end up arguing so much about which is superior?

What Is IS-IS ?

- Intermediate System to Intermediate System
- An "IS" is ISO terminology for a router
- IS-IS was originally designed for use as a dynamic routing protocol for ISO CLNP, defined in the ISO 10589 standard
- Later adapted to carry IP prefixes in addition to CLNP (known as Integrated or Dual IS-IS) as described in RFC 1195
- Predominantly used in ISP environment

IS-IS Timeline

1978ish "New" Arpanet Algorithm

- Eric Rosen et al
- 1986 to 90 Decnet Phase V
 - Radia Perlman, Mike Shand
- □ 1987 ISO 10589 (IS-IS)
 - Dave Oran
- □ 1990 RFC 1195 (Integrated IS-IS)
 - Ross Callon, Chris Gunner
- 1990 to present: All sorts of enhancements
 - Everyone contributed!
- 2008 RFC5308 adds IPv6 support
 - And RFC5120 adds Multi-Topology Routing support

What Is OSPF ?

Open Shortest Path First

- Link State Protocol using the Shortest Path First algorithm (Dijkstra) to calculate loop-free routes
- Used purely within the TCP/IP environment
- Designed to respond quickly to topology changes but using minimal protocol traffic
- Used in both Enterprise and ISP Environment

OSPF Timeline

- Development began in 1987 by IETF
- OSPFv1 published in 1989 with RFC 1131
- OSPFv2 published in 1991 with RFC 1247
- Further enhancements to OSPFv2 in 1994 with RFC 1583 and in 1997 with RFC 2178
- Last revision was in 1998 with RFC 2328 to fix minor problems
- All above OSPF RFCs authored by John Moy
- RFC2740 introduced OSPFv3 (for IPv6) in 1999, replaced by RFC5340 in 2008

IS-IS & OSPF: Similarities

Both are Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)

- They distribute routing information between routers belonging to a single Autonomous System (AS)
- With support for:
 - Classless Inter-Domain Routing (CIDR)
 - Variable Subnet Length Masking (VLSM)
 - Authentication
 - Multi-path
 - IP unnumbered links

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology

OSPF

- Host
- Router
- Link
- Packet
- Designated router (DR)
- Backup DR (BDR)
- Link-State Advertisement (LSA)
- Hello packet
- Database Description (DBD)

ISIS

- End System (ES)
- Intermediate System (IS)
- Circuit
- Protocol Data Unit (PDU)
- Designated IS (DIS)
- N/A (no BDIS is used)
- □ Link-State PDU (LSP)
- IIH PDU
- Complete sequence number PDU (CSNP)

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology (Cont.)

OSPF

- Area
- Non-backbone area
- Backbone area
- Area Border Router (ABR)
- Autonomous System Any IS **Boundary Router** (ASBR)

ISIS

- Sub domain (area)
- □ Level-1 area
- Level-2 Sub domain (backbone)
- □ L1L2 router

Transport

OSPF uses IP Protocol 89 as transport

Data Link Header IP Header	OSPF Header	OSPF Data
----------------------------	-------------	-----------

■ IS-IS is directly encapsulated in Layer 2

Data Link Header	IS-IS Header	IS-IS Data	
------------------	--------------	------------	--

For Service Providers

Which IGP should an ISP choose?

- Both OSPF and ISIS use Dijkstra SPF algorithm
- Exhibit same convergence properties
- ISIS less widely implemented on router platforms
- ISIS runs on data link layer, OSPF runs on IP layer

For Service Providers

Biggest ISPs tend to use ISIS – why?

- In early 1990s, Cisco implementation of ISIS was much more stable and reliable than OSPF implementation – ISPs naturally preferred ISIS
- Main ISIS implementations more tuneable than equivalent OSPF implementations – because biggest ISPs using ISIS put more pressure on Cisco to implement "knobs"

For Service Providers

Moving forward a decade

- Early Cisco OSPF implementation substantially rewritten
 - Now competitive with ISIS in features and performance
- Router vendors wishing a slice of the core market need an ISIS implementation as solid and as flexible as that from Cisco
 - Those with ISIS & OSPF support tend to ensure they exhibit performance and feature parity

How to choose an IGP?

OSPF

- Rigid area design all networks must have area 0 core, with sub-areas distributed around
- Suits ISPs with central high speed core network linking regional PoPs
- Teaches good routing protocol design practices

How to choose an IGP?

ISIS

- Relaxed two level design L2 routers must be linked through the backbone
- Suits ISPs with "stringy" networks, diverse infrastructure, etc, not fitting central core model of OSPF
- More flexible than OSPF, but easier to make mistakes too

Other considerations

ISIS runs on link layer

- Not possible to "attack" the IGP using IP as with OSPF
- ISIS's NSAP addressing scheme avoids dependencies on IP as with OSPF
- Because biggest ISPs use ISIS, major router vendors tend to apply new optimisation features before they are added to OSPF

Comparing ISIS and OSPF

ISP Workshops